

Item 15.**Parking - Mobility Parking - Arthur Street, Surry Hills**

TRIM Container No.: 2023/667371

Recommendations

It is recommended that the Committee endorse the reallocation of parking on the southern side of Arthur Street, Surry Hills between the points 17.5 metres and 25.3 metres east of Olivia Lane as "Mobility Parking Only".

Voting Members for this Item

Voting Members	Support	Object
City of Sydney	[Insert]	[Insert]
Transport for NSW	[Insert]	[Insert]
NSW Police –Surry Hills PAC	[Insert]	[Insert]
Representative for the Member for Sydney	[Insert]	[Insert]

Advice

Advice will be updated after the meeting

Background

A resident of Arthur Street, Surry Hills has requested a mobility parking space in the street. The resident has advised they are not in a wheelchair but cannot physically walk far.

Comments

Arthur Street, Surry Hills east of Olivia Lane is designated for two-way traffic flow with an approximate width of 9.8 metres. The road and footway grades are relatively flat adjacent to this proposed space.

The kerb space, where the changes are proposed, is currently signposted as "2P 8am-8pm, Permit Holders Excepted, Area 18" on the southern side of the street.

Under existing conditions, vehicles park on both sides of the street which reduces the trafficable lane for two-way traffic flow to an approximate width of 5 metres. The remaining width is allocated for on-street parking.

Footpaths (approximately 3.1 metres wide) are provided on both sides of the street.

The Australian Standard for on-street mobility parking (AS 2890.5 – 2020) requires spaces to be 7.8 metres long and 3.2 metres wide.

A 7.8 metre long bay is proposed and with consideration for the width of existing parking lane in Arthur Street and the low volume and speed of traffic using this local residential road, a 3.2 metre wide bay can be accommodated within the carriageway.

Although the resident requesting this space is not a wheelchair user, and kerb ramps are not necessary for them to use this space, the City will investigate installing ramps at this site should the proposal be endorsed.

Consultation

The City consulted local residents and businesses in the area. There were 172 letters sent out with no responses supporting the proposal and one response opposing the proposal due to the loss of on-street parking.

Financial

Funds are available in the current budget.

MICHAEL RIMAC, ACTING SENIOR TRAFFIC ENGINEER